

## Nobody But Us Impersonate, Tamper, and Exploit **Alfonso De Gregorio**

Founder, BeeWise

secYOUre

DeepSEC 2015, Vienna, November 17th-20th, 2015

## Web PKI is Fragile









The first Kifs this Ten Years ! \_ or \_ the moding of Britannia & Ciligin Prançois





## /me @secYOUre

# #illusoryTLS #DeepSEC

secYOUre

## First times are...



Web PKI is Fragile

## If only we could notice them



## Web PKI is Fragile



Web PKI is Fragile

### **PKI Dramas**

- China Internet Network Information Center (CNNIC), 2015
- Lenovo, 2015
- National Informatics Centre of India, 2014
- 🕨 ANSSI, 2013
- Trustwave, 2012
- Türktrust, 2011-2013
- DigiNotar, 2011
- Comodo, 2011
- Verisign, 2010

secYOUre

## **Unsuspecting Users**



## **Remaining oblivious**



## **Silent Failure**



## CRYPTOGRAPHIC BACKDOORS?!

## **GO FIGURE**

## At the intersection of software security and security software, exploring, and trying to contain, the space of unanticipated state.



## Almost safe



## Agenda

#### 1. Web PKI is Fragile

The sorrow state of the infrastructure we daily entrust our business upon

#### 2. illusoryTLS

Nobody But Us Impersonate, Tamper, and Exploit

#### 3. The Impact

Or, why one rotten apple spoils the whole barrel

#### 4. A Backdoor Embedding Algorithm

Elligator turned to evil

#### 5. Conclusions

The misery of our times





#### Timely topic often debated as matter for a government to legislate on





- Timely topic often debated as matter for a government to legislate on
- A space that some entities might have practically explored regardless of the policy framework



- Timely topic often debated as matter for a government to legislate on
- A space that some entities might have practically explored regardless of the policy framework

#### Would we be able to notice if our communications were being exploited?





secYOUre

## How many of you think that backdoors can be asymmetric?



## How many of you think that backdoors can be planted in data?



- Backdoors are symmetric
- Malicious logic in the target system code base
- Everyone with knowledge about the internals of the backdoor can exploit it
- Given enough skills and effort, code review can spot their presence

#### Backdoors can be asymmetric.

Their complete code does not enable anyone, except those with access to the key-recovery system, to exploit the backdoor



- Backdoors can be asymmetric.
   Their complete code does not enable anyone, except those with access to the key-recovery system, to exploit the backdoor
- Backdoors can be planted in data



## Backdoor is data, data is backdoor



**66** The illusion that your program is manipulating its data is powerful. But it is an illusion: The data is controlling your program.



**Taylor Hornby** 



### Scenario

The entire X.509 Web PKI security architecture falls apart, if a single CA certificate with a secretly embedded backdoor enters the certificate store of relying parties



### Scenario

 The entire X.509 Web PKI security architecture falls apart, if a single CA certificate with a secretly embedded backdoor enters the certificate store of relying parties

#### Have we sufficient assurance that this did not happen already?









## **Underhanded Crypto Contest**



**C** The Underhanded Crypto Contest is a competition to write or modify crypto code that appears to be secure, but actually does something evil



 An instance of the Young and Yung elliptic curve asymmetric backdoor in RSA key generation



The backdoor completely perverts the security guarantees provided by the TLS protocol, allowing the attacker to:

Impersonate the endpoints (i.e., authentication failure)



The backdoor completely perverts the security guarantees provided by the TLS protocol, allowing the attacker to:

- Impersonate the endpoints (i.e., authentication failure)
- Tamper with their messages (i.e., integrity erosion)


The backdoor completely perverts the security guarantees provided by the TLS protocol, allowing the attacker to:

- Impersonate the endpoints (i.e., authentication failure)
- Tamper with their messages (i.e., integrity erosion)
- Actively eavesdrop their communications (i.e., confidentiality loss)



### **Threat Model**

The backdoor designer can:

"Insert vulnerabilities into commercial encryption systems, IT systems, networks and endpoint communications devices used by targets."



- "Insert vulnerabilities into commercial encryption systems, IT systems, networks and endpoint communications devices used by targets."
- "influence policies, standard and specifications for commercial public key technologies."



- "Insert vulnerabilities into commercial encryption systems, IT systems, networks and endpoint communications devices used by targets."
- "influence policies, standard and specifications for commercial public key technologies."
- Interfere with the supply-chain



- "Insert vulnerabilities into commercial encryption systems, IT systems, networks and endpoint communications devices used by targets."
- "influence policies, standard and specifications for commercial public key technologies."

secYOUre

- Interfere with the supply-chain
- Disregard everything about policy

- "Insert vulnerabilities into commercial encryption systems, IT systems, networks and endpoint communications devices used by targets."
- "influence policies, standard and specifications for commercial public key technologies."
- Interfere with the supply-chain
- Disregard everything about policy
- Or, she is simply in the position to build the security module used by the Certification Authority for generating the key material

secYOUre

#### **Three Modules**







#### network-simple-tls

Hask	ell library for simple	network sockets usage patte	erns using TLS security.		
	119 commits	∲ <b>1</b> branch	◎ 5 releases	2 contributors	<> Code
n	∲ branch: master -	network-simple-tls / +		II	Issues Issues Image: Ima
netw	ork-simple-tis-0.2.1	11 Poil requests			
sta k	k0001 authored on Mar 9, 2014			latest commit de329d13f3	CDD Wilki
in examples		Updated examples and	Updated examples and removed them from cabal		* Pulse
src/Network/Simple/TCP		socketBackend: ensure	socketBackend: ensure the number of received bytes		Lb Crante
B .	.gitignore .gitignore		2 years ago	(M Graphs	
🗎 .t	.travis.ymi .travis.ymi			2 years ago	HTTPS clone URL
		Initial		2 years ago	https://github.com/k000
PEOPLE		PEOPLE: Nickolay Kud	PEOPLE: Nickolay Kudasov		You can clone with HTTPS, SSH, or Subversion. 1
README.md		README.md: Add Trav	README.md: Add TravisCl build icon		Download ZIP
Setup.hs		Initial		2 years ago	
Changelog.md		network-simple-tis-0.2.1	network-simple-tis-0.2.1		
🖹 n	etwork-simple-tis.cabal network-simple-tis-0.2.1			a year ago	



#### **Echo service over TLS**



secYOUre

## If the client and server code is contributed by an open-source project and it is used *as-is*, where is the backdoor?

#### Where is the backdoor?



secYOUre

#### **A Covert Channel**

The upper order bits of the RSA modulus encode the asymmetric encryption of a seed generated at random



- The upper order bits of the RSA modulus encode the asymmetric encryption of a seed generated at random
- The same seed was used to generate one of the RSA primes of the CA public-key modulus



- The upper order bits of the RSA modulus encode the asymmetric encryption of a seed generated at random
- The same seed was used to generate one of the RSA primes of the CA public-key modulus
- The RSA modulus is at the same time a RSA public-key and an ciphertext that gives to the backdoor designer the ability to factor with ease the modulus



## No backdoor was slipped into the cryptographic credentials issued to the communicating endpoints

secYOUre

#### **SETUP Attacks**

EXPOSING CRYPTOVIE	DPERY ZOLOGY

- Notion introduced by Adam Young and Moti Yung at Crypto '96
- Young and Yung elliptic-curve asymmetric backdoor in RSA key generation
- Expands on 'A Space Efficient Backdoor in RSA and its Applications', Selected Areas in Cryptography '05
- A working implementation at http://cryptovirology.com

#### NOBUS



- The exploitation requires access to resources not embedded in the backdoor itself
- e.g., elliptic-curve private key
- The vulnerability can be exploited by the backdoor designer and by whoever gains access to the associated key-recovery system

How many of you believe that it is possible to forbid an enemy intelligence organization from gaining access to a private key?

#### Indistinguishability



- Assuming ECDDH holds
- The backdoor key pairs appear to all probabilistic polynomial time algorithms like genuine RSA key pairs
- Black-box access to the key-generator does not allow detection

#### **Forward Secrecy**



 If a reverse-engineer breaches the key-generator, then the previously stolen information remains confidential



#### Reusability



The backdoor can be used multiple times and against multiple targets







#### Break TLS security guarantees at will







Break TLS security guarantees at will
Impersonation (e.g., authentication failure)





- Break TLS security guarantees at will
- Impersonation (e.g., authentication failure)
- Message tampering (e.g., integrity erosion)





- Break TLS security guarantees at will
- Impersonation (e.g., authentication failure)
- Message tampering (e.g., integrity erosion)
- Active eavesdropping of encrypted communications (e.g., confidentiality loss)





- Break TLS security guarantees at will
- Impersonation (e.g., authentication failure)
- Message tampering (e.g., integrity erosion)
- Active eavesdropping of encrypted communications (e.g., confidentiality loss)
- No need to have access to any private key used by system actors



- Break TLS security guarantees at will
- Impersonation (e.g., authentication failure)
- Message tampering (e.g., integrity erosion)
- Active eavesdropping of encrypted communications (e.g., confidentiality loss)
- No need to have access to any private key used by system actors

46/103

No need to tamper with the communicating endpoints



- Break TLS security guarantees at will
- Impersonation (e.g., authentication failure)
- Message tampering (e.g., integrity erosion)
- Active eavesdropping of encrypted communications (e.g., confidentiality loss)
- No need to have access to any private key used by system actors
- No need to tamper with the communicating endpoints
- Need to retain control over the key-generation of the target RSA modulus

## Is the malicious implementer a threat mitigated by IT product security certifications?



#### **Fictional Security**



A single CA certificate with a secretly embedded backdoor renders the entire TLS security fictional  $\frac{1}{48/103}$ 

#### **One Rotten Apple...**



## One rotten apple...

#### ... spoils the whole barrel



## ... spoils the whole barrel

## Ethylene



## Universal implicit cross-certification is the ethylene of trust





# H = C = C HH H


 Cross certification enables entities in one public key infrastructure to trust entities in another PKI









- Cross certification enables entities in one public key infrastructure to trust entities in another PKI
- This mutual trust relationship should be typically supported by a cross-certification agreement between the CAs in each PKI

55/103

secYOUre



- Cross certification enables entities in one public key infrastructure to trust entities in another PKI
- This mutual trust relationship should be typically supported by a cross-certification agreement between the CAs in each PKI
- The agreement establishes the responsabilities and liability of each party

Each CA is required to issue a certificate to the other to establish a relationship in both directions







- Each CA is required to issue a certificate to the other to establish a relationship in both directions
- The path of trust is not hierarchical, although the separate PKIs may be certificate hierarchies







- Each CA is required to issue a certificate to the other to establish a relationship in both directions
- The path of trust is not hierarchical, although the separate PKIs may be certificate hierarchies
- After two CAs have established and specified the terms of trust and issued the certificates to each other, entities within the separate PKIs can interact subject to the policies specified in the certificates

# But this is just in theory...





Most current PKI software employs a form of implicit cross certification in which all root CAs are equally trusted





Most current PKI software employs a form of implicit cross certification in which all root CAs are equally trusted

59/103

Equivalent to unbounded cross certification among all CAs

secYOUre



- Most current PKI software employs a form of implicit cross certification in which all root CAs are equally trusted
- Equivalent to unbounded cross certification among all CAs

secYOUre

Any certificate can be trivially replaced by a masquereder's certificate from another CA

59/103



- Most current PKI software employs a form of implicit cross certification in which all root CAs are equally trusted
- Equivalent to unbounded cross certification among all CAs
- Any certificate can be trivially replaced by a masquereder's certificate from another CA
- The security of any certificate is reduced to that of the least trustworthy CA, who can issue bogus certificate to usurp the legitimate one, at the same level of trust

## **CA Certificate in a MitM Proxy**



# **Superfish Adware**



# **PKI is Not Dead, Just Resting**

#### PKI: It's Not Dead, Just Resting

Peter Gutmann University of Auckland

#### Abstract

Despite enthusiastic predictions in the trade press, an X.509-style PKI has so far failed to eventuate to any significant degree. This paper looks at some of the reasons behind this, examining why a pure X.509-style PKI may never appear outside a few closed, highly-controlled environments such as government agencies. On the other hand there are many instances in which situation- and application-specific uses of certificates can be employed in a manner that avoids the shortcomings of X.509's one-size-(mis)fits-all approach. The paper examines a number of these situation-specific approaches to working with certificates, and concludes with a collection of useful design rules to consider before embarking on a PKI project.

#### 1. Introduction







# **Rotting fruit**

# As weak as the weakest link

# **ZONFREE APOCAL VPSE** MULTIPLEATTACKER SEMINAR

# Multiple attackers attracted

**ZONARTS ENCOURAGED** OCT 26 2-5PM KMI SF









# It is essential to have assurance about the security of each implementation of vulnerable key-generation algorithm employed by trusted credential issuers

### **Hundreds CAs**

# OS X El Capitan There's more to love with every click.

# 188 Trusted CA certificates installed

# Have we sufficient assurance about the hundreds CA certificates we daily entrust our business upon?



Publicly trusted certificates to be issued in compliance with European Standard EN 319 411-3







- Publicly trusted certificates to be issued in compliance with European Standard EN 319 411-3
- CA key generation to be carried out within a device that meets the requirements identified by some approved PP





- Publicly trusted certificates to be issued in compliance with European Standard EN 319 411-3
- CA key generation to be carried out within a device that meets the requirements identified by some approved PP
- CEN Workshop Agreement 14167, Part 2-3-4 are three of those PP





- Publicly trusted certificates to be issued in compliance with European Standard EN 319 411-3
- CA key generation to be carried out within a device that meets the requirements identified by some approved PP
- CEN Workshop Agreement 14167, Part 2-3-4 are three of those PP
- EAL4 Augmented



- Publicly trusted certificates to be issued in compliance with European Standard EN 319 411-3
- CA key generation to be carried out within a device that meets the requirements identified by some approved PP
- CEN Workshop Agreement 14167, Part 2-3-4 are three of those PP
- EAL4 Augmented
- Augmentation from adherence to ADV\_IMP.2, AVA\_CCA.1, and AVA\_VLA.4

# ADV\_IMP.2, AVA\_CCA.1, and AVA\_VLA.4

Focused on assessing the vulnerabilities in the TOE





# ADV\_IMP.2, AVA\_CCA.1, and AVA\_VLA.4



 Guaranteeing that the implementation representation is an accurate and complete instantiation of the TSF requirements






## ADV\_IMP.2, AVA\_CCA.1, and AVA\_VLA.4



- Focused on assessing the vulnerabilities in the TOE
- Guaranteeing that the implementation representation is an accurate and complete instantiation of the TSF requirements
- Special emphasis on identifying covert channels and estimating their capacity



## ADV\_IMP.2, AVA\_CCA.1, and AVA\_VLA.4



- Focused on assessing the vulnerabilities in the TOE
- Guaranteeing that the implementation representation is an accurate and complete instantiation of the TSF requirements
- Special emphasis on identifying covert channels and estimating their capacity
- SETUP attacks makes use of the key-generation as a covert channel for itself





Developer is in charge for the vulnerability assessment and documentation





- Developer is in charge for the vulnerability assessment and documentation
- Conflicts with our threat model





- Developer is in charge for the vulnerability assessment and documentation
- Conflicts with our threat model
- The evaluator is left with the documentation and the implementation representation to be assessed





- Developer is in charge for the vulnerability assessment and documentation
- Conflicts with our threat model
- The evaluator is left with the documentation and the implementation representation to be assessed
- Can the presence of backdoor can be ruled out at the required assurance level?





- Developer is in charge for the vulnerability assessment and documentation
- Conflicts with our threat model
- The evaluator is left with the documentation and the implementation representation to be assessed
- Can the presence of backdoor can be ruled out at the required assurance level?
- Formal methods required only at the two highest levels (EAL6 and EAL7)



- Developer is in charge for the vulnerability assessment and documentation
- Conflicts with our threat model
- The evaluator is left with the documentation and the implementation representation to be assessed
- Can the presence of backdoor can be ruled out at the required assurance level?
- Formal methods required only at the two highest levels (EAL6 and EAL7)
- Implementation representation may render backdoor detection unlikely (e.g., HDL at design time, netlist at fabrication time)

78/103

secYOUre

As long as the implementations of RSA — or, more generally, algorithms vulnerable to this class of attacks — used by trusted entities (e.g., CA) cannot be audited by relying parties (e.g., x.509 end-entities), any trust-anchor for the same trusted entities (e.g., root certificate) is to be regarded as a potential backdoor As long as the implementation of algorithms adopted by CAs and vulnerable to this class of backdoors cannot be audited by relying parties, the assurance provided by illusoryTLS (i.e., none whatsoever) is not any different from the assurance provided by systems relying upon TLS and RSA certificates for origin authentication, confidentiality, and message integrity guarantees

80/103

## Mitigations

- Key Pinning, RFC 7469, Public Key Pinning Extension for HTTP (HPKP), April 2015
- Certificate Transparency, RFC 6962, June 2013
- DANE, DNS-based Authentication of Named Entities, RFC 6698, August 2012
- Tack, Trust Assertions for Certificate Keys, draft-perrin-tls-tack-02.txt, Expired
- Proper explicit cross-certification



## A Backdoor Embedding Algorithm



# The subtleness of a backdoor planted in a cryptographic credential resides in the *absence of malicious logic* in the system whose security it erodes.



#### An attack variant



Ryanc https://gist.github.com/ryancdotorg/18235723e926be0afbdd



#### 1. Embed a Curve25519 public-key into the key-generator



- 1. Embed a Curve25519 public-key into the key-generator
- 2. Generate an ephemeral Curve25519 key at random



- 1. Embed a Curve25519 public-key into the key-generator
- 2. Generate an ephemeral Curve25519 key at random
- 3. Compute a shared secret using Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman



- 1. Embed a Curve25519 public-key into the key-generator
- 2. Generate an ephemeral Curve25519 key at random
- 3. Compute a shared secret using Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman
- 4. Use the shared secret to seed at cryptographically secure pseudo-random number generator (CSPRNG) based on AES run in CTR mode



- 1. Embed a Curve25519 public-key into the key-generator
- 2. Generate an ephemeral Curve25519 key at random
- 3. Compute a shared secret using Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman
- 4. Use the shared secret to seed at cryptographically secure pseudo-random number generator (CSPRNG) based on AES run in CTR mode
- 5. Generate a normal RSA key using the seeded CSPRNG



- 1. Embed a Curve25519 public-key into the key-generator
- 2. Generate an ephemeral Curve25519 key at random
- 3. Compute a shared secret using Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman
- 4. Use the shared secret to seed at cryptographically secure pseudo-random number generator (CSPRNG) based on AES run in CTR mode
- 5. Generate a normal RSA key using the seeded CSPRNG
- 6. Replace 32-bytes of the generated modulus with the ephemeral Curve25519 public-key

- 1. Embed a Curve25519 public-key into the key-generator
- 2. Generate an ephemeral Curve25519 key at random
- 3. Compute a shared secret using Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman
- 4. Use the shared secret to seed at cryptographically secure pseudo-random number generator (CSPRNG) based on AES run in CTR mode
- 5. Generate a normal RSA key using the seeded CSPRNG
- 6. Replace 32-bytes of the generated modulus with the ephemeral Curve25519 public-key
- 7. Use the original prime factors to compute two new primes leading to a new modulus embedding the ephemeral public-key

- 1. Embed a Curve25519 public-key into the key-generator
- 2. Generate an ephemeral Curve25519 key at random
- 3. Compute a shared secret using Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman
- 4. Use the shared secret to seed at cryptographically secure pseudo-random number generator (CSPRNG) based on AES run in CTR mode
- 5. Generate a normal RSA key using the seeded CSPRNG
- 6. Replace 32-bytes of the generated modulus with the ephemeral Curve25519 public-key
- 7. Use the original prime factors to compute two new primes leading to a new modulus embedding the ephemeral public-key
- 8. Output the RSA key with the secretly embedded backdoor

1. Extracts the ephemeral Curve25519 public-key from the target modulus



- 1. Extracts the ephemeral Curve25519 public-key from the target modulus
- 2. Computes the shared secret via ECDH and using the private-key associated to the public-key embedded in the key generator



- 1. Extracts the ephemeral Curve25519 public-key from the target modulus
- 2. Computes the shared secret via ECDH and using the private-key associated to the public-key embedded in the key generator
- 3. Uses the shared secret to seed the CSPRNG based on AES run in CTR mode



- 1. Extracts the ephemeral Curve25519 public-key from the target modulus
- 2. Computes the shared secret via ECDH and using the private-key associated to the public-key embedded in the key generator
- 3. Uses the shared secret to seed the CSPRNG based on AES run in CTR mode
- 4. Generates a normal RSA key using the seeded CSPRNG



- 1. Extracts the ephemeral Curve25519 public-key from the target modulus
- 2. Computes the shared secret via ECDH and using the private-key associated to the public-key embedded in the key generator
- 3. Uses the shared secret to seed the CSPRNG based on AES run in CTR mode
- 4. Generates a normal RSA key using the seeded CSPRNG
- 5. Replaces 32-bytes of the generated modulus with the ephemeral Curve25519 public-key

- 1. Extracts the ephemeral Curve25519 public-key from the target modulus
- 2. Computes the shared secret via ECDH and using the private-key associated to the public-key embedded in the key generator
- 3. Uses the shared secret to seed the CSPRNG based on AES run in CTR mode
- 4. Generates a normal RSA key using the seeded CSPRNG
- 5. Replaces 32-bytes of the generated modulus with the ephemeral Curve25519 public-key
- 6. Uses the original prime factors to compute two new primes leading to the target modulus embedding the ephmeral public-key

- 1. Extracts the ephemeral Curve25519 public-key from the target modulus
- 2. Computes the shared secret via ECDH and using the private-key associated to the public-key embedded in the key generator
- 3. Uses the shared secret to seed the CSPRNG based on AES run in CTR mode
- 4. Generates a normal RSA key using the seeded CSPRNG
- 5. Replaces 32-bytes of the generated modulus with the ephemeral Curve25519 public-key
- 6. Uses the original prime factors to compute two new primes leading to the target modulus embedding the ephmeral public-key
- 7. Output the recovered RSA private key

### **Broken**



- Although the idea is nice
- The key pairs generated using this algorithm fall short in terms of indistiguishability
- It is easy to tell backdoored certificates apart from genuine RSA certificate using only black-box access

secYOUre

# Does anybody see why this is the case?



## **Distinguishing Attack**

A public-key embedded into an RSA modulus



- A public-key embedded into an RSA modulus
- Elliptic curve public-keys are points on the curve



- A public-key embedded into an RSA modulus
- Elliptic curve public-keys are points on the curve
- And elliptic curve points are easily distinguished from uniform random strings



- A public-key embedded into an RSA modulus
- Elliptic curve public-keys are points on the curve
- And elliptic curve points are easily distinguished from uniform random strings
- A security evaluator could check if the coordinates encoded using the candidate 32-byte substrings of the modulus satisfy the elliptic curve equation

If we could make the elliptic curve points indistinguishable from random strings, then the backdoor indistinguishability would be retained

secYOUre
# Elligator



#### Censorship sucks!

- Daniel J. Bernstein, Anna Krasnova, Mike Hamburg, Tanja Lange
- an encoding for points on a single curve as strings indistiguishable from uniform random strings
- http://elligator.cr.yp.to



#### **Inherently Dual Use**



#### All cyber security technology is inherently dual use

A Backdoor Embedding Algorithm

### **Undetectability for Good or Ill**



- Just like any and all cyber security tools
- Undetectability of curve points can be used for good or ill
- For censorship-circumvention or surveillance



I believe we can positively contribute to the discussion and practice of information security by walking the fine line between offense and defense

secYOUre

- Website http://illusorytls.com
- illusoryTLS https://github.com/secYOUre/illusoryTLS
- pyelligator https://github.com/secYOUre/pyelligator
- rsaelligatorbd https://github.com/secYOUre/rsaelligatorbd

#### Embed a Curve25519 public-key into the key-generator

MASTER\_PUB\_HEX = '525e422e42c9c662362a7326c3c5c785ac7ef52e86782c4ac3c06887583e7a6f'
master\_pub = unhexlify(MASTER\_PUB\_HEX)



#### Generate an ephemeral Curve25519 key at random and the associated uniform representative string

```
while True:
    private = urandom(32)
    (v, pub, rep) = elligator.scalarbasemult(private)
    if v:
        break
```



#### Compute a shared secret using ECDH

Use the shared secret to seed a CSPRNG based on AES run in CTR mode

# combine the ECDH keys to generate the seed seed = nacl.crypto\_box\_beforenm(master\_pub, private)

```
prng = AESPRNG(seed)
```



#### Generate a normal RSA key using the seeded CSPRNG

# deterministic key generation from seed
rsa = build\_key(embed=rep, pos=80, randfunc=prng.randbytes)
...
def build\_key(bits=2048, e=65537, embed='', pos=1, randfunc=None):
# generate base key
rsa = RSA.generate(bits, randfunc)



# Replace 32-bytes of the generated modulus with the representative string associated to the ephemeral Curve25519 public-key

```
# extract modulus as a string
n_str = unhexlify(str(hex(rsa.n))[2:-1])
# embed data into the modulus
n_hex = hexlify(replace_at(n_str, embed, pos))
...
# overwrite some bytes in orig at a specificed offset
def replace_at(orig, replace, offset):
return orig[0:offset] + replace + orig[offset+len(replace):]
```



Use the original prime factors to compute to new primes leading to a new modulus embedding the uniform representative string

```
n = gmpy.mpz(n_hex, 16)
p = rsa.p
# compute a starting point to look for a new q value
pre_q = n / p
# use the next prime as the new q value
q = pre_q.next_prime()
n = p * q
phi = (p-1) * (q-1)
# compute new private exponent
d = gmpy.invert(e, phi)
# make sure that p is smaller than q
if p > q:
(p, q) = (q, p)
```



#### Output the backdoored RSA key

return RSA.construct((long(n), long(e), long(d), long(p), long(q)))



#### Extracts the representative string from the target modulus

```
#Load an x.509 certificate from a file
x509 = X509.load_cert(sys.argv[2])
# Pull the modulus out of the certificate
orig_modulus = unhexlify(x509.get_pubkey().get_modulus())
(seed, rep) = recover_seed(key=sys.argv[1], modulus=orig_modulus, pos=80)
...
def recover_seed(key='', modulus=None, pos=1):
...
rep = modulus[pos:pos+32]
```



# Maps the representative string to the candidate ephemeral Curve25519 public-key

pub = elligator.representativetopublic(rep)



- Computes the shared secret via ECDH and using the private-key associated to the public-key embedded in the key-generator
- Uses the shared secret to seed the CSPRNG based on AES run in CTR mode

```
def recover_seed(key='', modulus=None, pos=1):
    # recreate the master private key from the passphrase
    master = sha256(key).digest()
    ...
    # compute seed with master private and ephemeral public key
    return (nacl.crypto_box_beforenm(pub, master), rep)
    ...
    (seed, rep) = recover_seed(key=sys.argv[1], modulus=orig_modulus, pos=80)
    prng = AESPRNG(seed)
```



#### Generates a normal RSA key using the seeded CSPRNG

# deterministic key generation from seed
rsa = build\_key(embed=rep, pos=80, randfunc=prng.randbytes)
...
def build\_key(bits=2048, e=65537, embed='', pos=1, randfunc=None):
# generate base key
rsa = RSA.generate(bits, randfunc)



# Replaces 32-bytes of the generated modulus with the representative string found in the target modulus

# extract modulus as a string n\_str = unhexlify(str(hex(rsa.n))[2:-1]) # embed data into the modulus n\_hex = hexlify(replace\_at(n\_str, embed, pos))



Uses the original prime factors to compute two new primes leading to the target modulus embedding the uniform representative string

```
n = gmpy.mpz(n_hex, 16)
p = rsa.p
# compute a starting point to look for a new q value
pre_q = n / p
# use the next prime as the new q value
q = pre_q.next_prime()
n = p * q
phi = (p-1) * (q-1)
# compute new private exponent
d = gmpy.invert(e, phi)
# make sure that p is smaller than q
if p > q:
(p, q) = (q, p)
```



#### Output the recovered RSA key

return RSA.construct((long(n), long(e), long(d), long(p), long(q)))
...
print rsa.exportKey()



# Conclusions











Vincent van Gogh

**66** Though we are often in the depths of insecurity, there is still calmness, pure harmony and music inside us.

## **THANK YOU**

**QUESTIONS?** 



### Normal RSA Key Generation — Young and Yung

- 1. Let *e* be the public RSA exponent (e.g.,  $2^{16} + 1$ )
- 2. Choose a large number p randomly (e.g., 1024 bits long)
- 3. If *p* is composite or  $gcd(e, p 1) \neq 1$  then goto to step 1
- 4. Choose a large number q randomly (e.g., 1024 bits long)
- 5. If *q* is composite or  $gcd(e, p 1) \neq 1$  then goto to step 3
- 6. Output the public-key (N = pq, e) and the private-key p
- 7. The private exponent d is found by solving for (d, k) in  $ed + k\phi(n) = 1$  using the extended Euclidean algorithm

## **RSA Encryption/Decryption — Young and Yung**

- N = p \* q, where p and q are large primes known to the key owner
- Everyone knows *N* and *e*
- Let *d* be a privete key exponent where ed = 1mod(p-1)(q-1)
- To encrypt  $m \in Z_n^*$  (after padding) compute:  $c = m^e modN$
- To decrypt the ciphertext c compute:  $m = c^d modN$
- As far as we know: Only with known factorization given N and e, one can find d

### **Elliptic Curve Decision Diffie-Hellman Problem**

- Let C an elliptic-curve equation over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with prime order *n*
- Let G be the base point of the curve
- Given three point elements (*xG*), (*yG*) and (*zG*)
- Decide whether (*zG* = *xyG*), or not
- ▶ Where (x, y, z) are chosen randomly and 1 < x, y, z < n</p>